

- 1 Introduction and Overview**  
Ziegler, R. (Arlesheim)
- 3 Randomized Trials versus Observational Studies: Is the Comparative Observational Study a Poor Compromise or a Perfect Synthesis?**  
Gaus, W. (Ulm)
- 5 Elements of Therapeutic Research Structure**  
Ziegler, R. (Arlesheim)
- 13 A Framework for Classifying Study Designs to Evaluate Health Care Interventions**  
Reeves, B.C. (London)
- 18 Randomized and Double-Blind Studies – Demands and Reality as Demonstrated by Two Examples of Mistletoe Research**  
Rostock, M.; Huber, R. (Freiburg)
- 23 Retrolective, Comparative, Epidemiological Cohort Study with Parallel Groups Design for Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of Drugs with ‘Well-Established Use’**  
Bock, P.R. (Basel); Friedel, W.E. (Bad Bocklet); Hanisch, J.; Karasmann, M. (Basel); Schneider, B. (Hannover)
- 30 Treatment Outcomes in Patients with Migraine: An Ex-Post-Facto Comparison of Two In-Patient Facilities**  
Weidenhammer, W.; Linde, K. (München); D. Melchart (München/Zürich)
- 36 Prospective, Comparative Cohort Studies and Their Contribution to the Benefit Assessments of Therapeutic Options: Heart Failure Treatment with and without Hawthorn Special Extract WS® 1442**  
Habs, M. (Karlsruhe)
- 40 Reasons for Caution when Evaluating Health Care Interventions Using Non-Randomised Study Designs**  
Reeves, B.C. (London)
- 46 Guidelines for Reporting Non-Randomised Studies**  
Reeves, B.C. (London); Gaus, W. (Ulm)
- 53 The Importance of Considering Research Design in a Wider Context**  
van Haselen, R. (London)
- II Imprint**
- 56 Guidelines for Authors**